

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,881	11/08/2001	Paul Barham	2760	8307	
75	90 07/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHALIK & WYLIE, PLLC			SWEARINGEN, JEFFREY R		
Suite 193 704-228th Avenue NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Sammamish, WA 98074			2145		
		DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/010,881	BARHAM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2145			
<u> </u>	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
7/10/17/ 6/2/06						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE **MONTH(S)**. OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status.						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18					
• —	,—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·	') Claim(s) is/are objected to. S					
•						
· · ·	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
-	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(_				
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Inform	of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/010,881

3Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to load balancing in a network, classified in class 709, subclass 226.
- Claims 11-53, drawn to pricing of network quality of service, classified in class 705, subclass 20.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it is a load balancing system for a network to determine packet transfer rates. The subcombination has separate utility such as a cost basis system for controlling pricing of a quality of service system on a network.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election .

For a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and

(ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point

Art Unit: 2145

out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Swearingen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jason Cardone can be reached on 571-272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this
application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2145

Jason Cardone Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 2145